

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,766	01/02/2002	Jeffery Tabor	659/919	6310
75	590 06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert N. Carpenter BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			PURVIS, SUE A	
P.O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610			1734	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,766	TABOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Sue A. Purvis	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	March 2005.	•	
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	·	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Εχ paπe Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 2,3,6-8 and 15-21 is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,6-8 and 17-21</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15, 16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Var alaatian raquirament	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vor election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International Bure 	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Actionity documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Potent and Trademark Office		 '	<u></u>

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuntze et al. (US Patent No. 6,620,276 B1).

Kuntze discloses an apparatus for applying discrete parts onto a substrate web, the apparatus includes a transfer assembly (60) configured to rotate about an axis and which includes an outer surface configured to engage the discrete parts, and a web conveyor having an outer surface adapted to support and advance a substrate web, with the outer surface of the web conveyor including at least one recessed portion having a bottom surface capable of accommodating the discrete parts. (See Figures 2 & 4.)

Application/Control Number: 10/038,766

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding claim 16, the web conveyor is spaced from the transfer assembly a distance less than the combined total thickness of the substrate web and the discrete part.

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blomqvist et al. (US Patent No. 3,847,710).

Blomqvist discloses an apparatus with a carrier body having a discrete part engaging outer surface, the outer surface including a generally convex surface and a generally convex recessed portion (3) spaced inwardly. The surface is adapted and configured to engage a discrete part having a thickness. The recessed portion having a bottom surface adapted and configured to engage the discrete part and further comprising at least one aperture (4) in the outer surface and extending through the carrier body for communication with a vacuum source. The carrier body is rotatable about an axis normal to the convex surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. (US Patent No. 4,941,939) in view of Tomsovic, Jr. (US Patent No. 4,726,876) or Oshefsky et al. (US Patent No. 4,617,082).

Nomura discloses an apparatus for applying discrete parts onto a substrate web, the apparatus includes at least one transfer assembly configured (not detailed) which transfers the discrete parts to a web. Nomura also includes a web conveyor (1) having an outer surface adapted to support and advance a substrate web, with the outer surface of the web

Application/Control Number: 10/038,766

Art Unit: 1734

conveyor including at least one recessed portion having a bottom surface capable of accommodating at least one portion of each of the discrete parts that is relatively thicker than other portions of each of the discrete parts. (Col. 4, lines 7-54.)

Nomura does not detail a transfer assembly configured to rotate about an axis.

Nomura does suggest that particular types of this device are not critical so long as the device functions in the manner mentioned above in that it applies the substrate to the web.

A well-known type of transfer member is a rotating one as seen in Tomsovic, Jr. or in Oshefsky.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rotating transfer roll in the device of Nomura to place the discrete part on the web, because a rotating transfer roll is a well known method of transferring parts and Nomura suggests particular features of the device are not important, only that it transfers the substrate to the web.

Regarding claim 16, it is within the purview of the artisan to have the web conveyor spaced from the transfer assembly a distance less than the combined total thickness of the substrate web and the discrete part.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 21 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant argues that Kuntze does not discloses "at least one recessed portion having a bottom surface for accommodating at least one portion of each of the discrete parts that is relatively thicker than the other portions of each of the discrete parts," the examiner disagrees. This limitation is present in Kuntze as clearly shown in Figure 2 with the linking means (40) and the web loops (101) in Figure 4. This rejection stands.

Art Unit: 1734

- 8. Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to Nomura on page 6 of their response, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).
- 9. Furthermore, "[e]xpressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). "Inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)). (See MPEP §2115.) Thus applicant's arguments regarding the discrete portions in Nomura does not dissuade the examiner from maintaining the rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 2, 3, 6-8, and 17-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Art Unit: 1734

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Inf ormation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

SP

June 6, 2005